Acoustics of the tense-lax stop contrast in Semarang Javanese
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**Introduction**

- Javanese contrasts tense vs. lax stops, both claimed to be voiceless unaspirated.
- Vowels after lax stops are reported to have:
  - Lower F0
  - Breathier voice quality (e.g., higher H1-H2, higher noise)
  - Lower F1, higher F2
- Previous findings are from small samples, and there is a lot of variability across speakers.
- Brunelle (2010): differences stem in part from larynx lowering for lax stops.
- It is still unclear whether the contrast is maintained word-finally.

**Methods**

- 28 speakers (22 women, 6 men) of Semarang Javanese, (results from 7 speakers shown in poster).
- Aged 19-55, various levels of dominance in Javanese vs. Indonesian.
- Audio recordings of words in carrier sentence.
- Words annotated and segmented in Praat:
  - VOT, STOP CLOSURE & RELEASE, VOWEL
- Acoustic measures obtained using VoiceSauce:
  - F0
  - H1*-H2* (higher if breathy) and CPP (lower if breathy)
  - F1, F2, B1 (higher B1 if breathy)

**Research questions**

1. How is the tense-lax contrast realized?
2. Is the contrast neutralized word-finally?

**F0 and voice quality**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Initial (prevocalic)</th>
<th>Final (post vocalic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vowel quality and VOT**

- S1, S2, S3: initial lax stops generally have lead VOT while tense stops have lag VOT.
- For other speakers, VOT can be lead (S4, S5) or lag (S6, S8), regardless of stop type.

**Discussion**

- Tense-lax contrast driven by f0 and vowel quality (especially F1). \textbf{No evidence of tense-lax differences word-finally.}
- Initial lax stops have lower CPP and higher B1 \textsuperscript{\(\rightarrow\)} breathy quality driven by noise and formant characteristics.
- Results somewhat consistent with \textit{larynx lowering} for lax stops, but puzzles remain: \textit{Why doesn’t larynx lowering lax favor prevoicing? What is the relationship between larynx lowering and breathy voice?}
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